

City of Carpinteria Draft Maps

JANUARY 24, 2021

Agenda

What we will cover

- California Voting Rights Act (CVRA)
- Traditional Redistricting Principles
- Public Submissions
- Community of Interest Testimony
- Current Lines
- Draft Maps
- Next Steps



What is Districting?

Definition

<u>Districting</u> is the initial process of creating election district boundaries.

These boundaries do not determine:

- How the city decides to govern. The city can still work to achieve goals that benefit the city as a whole rather than the interests of any single district.
- How services or relationships between the city and the public are managed.



What is Districting?

Definition

<u>Districting</u> is the initial process of creating election district boundaries.

These boundaries <u>do</u> determine:

- Eligibility to run for office must live within boundaries to qualify for election.
- Who votes in the election only voters within the zone vote for their board member.



What is the CVRA?

The California Voting Rights Act takes the principles of the Federal Voting Rights Act and expands it regarding districted elections in two key ways:

While Federal law uses "majority minority" districts as a standard for vulnerability, the CVRA only requires "ability to influence."

The CVRA requires that plaintiffs get full reimbursement for legal fees associated with any successful challenge.



What is the CVRA?

The California Voting Rights Act takes the principles of the Federal Voting Rights Act and expands it regarding districted elections in two key ways:

While Federal law uses "majority minority" districts as a standard for vulnerability, the CVRA only requires "ability to influence."

The CVRA requires that plaintiffs get full reimbursement for legal fees associated with any successful challenge. *These can be lessened or eliminated if the district follows a strict and prompt process for districting.*



Traditional Redistricting Principles

Complying with the Fair Maps Act

The Fair Maps Act passed by the Legislature mandates a set of criteria we must follow when drawing district plans.

- Relatively equal size people, not citizens
- Contiguous districts should not hop/jump
- Maintain "communities of interest"
- Follow city and census designated place boundaries
- Keep districts compact appearance/function




From COI to Maps


With the Fair Maps Act requiring cities to include the public input in the redistricting process, we move redistricting out into the public, with maps driven by Community of Interest testimony.

Input from community drives process with multiple ways for the public to engage.

Rules for process emphasize the importance of traditional criteria and minimizing divisions of neighborhoods.

Releasing maps for feedback – today we will see plans to spark more public engagement.







The City of Carpinteria City Council obtained public map submissions

- Use of the online mapping tool DistrictR to solicit input.
- Hosted 3 Community Workshops + additional community presentations
- Online training of DistrictR at each Community Workshop.
- 45 full plans, 3 partial plans and 6 Community of Interest maps





We have converted all full and partial plans, received by January 18th, to our "Atlas" format which includes:

- Cover page with full districting plan overview.
- Data table with population, deviation, ethnic population, Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)Ethnicity and breakdowns for each district (numbered 1-6 for a complete plan)
- Individual district maps with street-details and data tables and charts.





We have converted all full and partial plans, received by January 18th, to our "Atlas" format which includes:

- Cover page with full districting plan overview.
- Data table with population, deviation, ethnic population, Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)Ethnicity and breakdowns for each (numbered 1-6 for a complete plan) district
- Individual district maps with street-details and data tables and charts.





We have converted all full and partial plans, received by January 18th, to our "Atlas" format which includes:

- Cover page with full districting plan overview.
- Data table with population, deviation, ethnic population, Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)Ethnicity and breakdowns for each district (numbered 1-6 for a complete plan)
- Individual district maps with street-details and data tables and charts.





The Community of Interest maps drawn by the public and received by January 18^{th} , were also converted to Atlas format.

- Community of Interest submissions provided to commission with descriptions provided by the public.
- All COI submissions are available on DistrictR site with points of interest and descriptions.
- Communities of Interest used to develop draft plans based on commission direction.





In addition to PDF maps of all submissions, there are also web maps provided.

These allow the user to zoom in to street level for a closer inspection.





In addition to PDF maps of all submissions, there are also web maps provided.

These allow the user to zoom in to street level for a closer inspection of where district lines are placed.






Public Map Plan Submissions

Placing Public Plans into Mapping Schools

To date, there have been 45 full public plans, 3 partial plans, 6 COI submissions through DistrictR and 99 placemats. These plans can be categorized as follows:

- Non compliant: single district, more than 5 districts, noncontiguous
- Use 101 as split
- Keep downtown corridor together
- Most maps keep coast together
- Northeast boundary at Dump Road
- Southwest boundary split at Linden Ave





Non Compliant: Single district, more than 5 districts, non contiguous


DistrictR IDs: 53973,53017,46887,57340, 66520,51075,51091, Collins



Keep Coast together

DistrictR IDs: 53975, 54659, 54986, 82322, 93368, Barge,





Use 101 as boundary

DistrictR IDs:

79756, 87181, 76529, 87365, 87287, 93620, 93619, 93616, Pratt, Barbieri






Northeast boundary at Dump Road

DistrictR IDs: 87154, 87220, 87282, Taylor, Pointer, Morris





Southwest boundary split at Linden Ave


DistrictR IDs: 94526, 94532, 95010, 95027, 95028, 95054, Camp, Brun



COI Map Report

The Submitted COI Map Report provides COI and Draft Map public submissions, COI testimony from public workshops and themes from publicly submitted maps with the following summary data:

- Submission date
- Thumbnail image of plan
- Name and ID# of public submission
- Public testimony for COI maps
- Themes for submitted draft maps





Placemats

To date, there have been 99 placemats received by staff and are on the website.







Public Comments

The Community of Interest forms filled out by the public and received by staff are on the website

The city received 23 Public Comment responses









City of Carpinteria

City of Carpinteria Existing Lines

2020 Census

	CARPINTERIA	
Population	13,293	
Deviation	0	
Deviation %	0.0%	
Other	6,434	
Other %	48.4%	
Latino	6,485	
Latino %	48.8%	
Asian	299	
Asian %	2.2%	
Black	75	
Black %	0.6%	

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)


orazen voanig Age i opalation (oval)		
	CARPINTERIA	
Total CVAP	8,783	
Other CVAP	6,000	
Other CVAP %	68.3%	
Latino CVAP	2,537	
Latino CVAP %	28.9%	
Asian CVAP	198	
Asian CVAP %	2.3%	
Black CVAP	48	
Black CVAP %	0.5%	




Draft Plans A, B & C

Council was provided a packet with three consultant created plans based on traditional redistricting criteria, and COI testimony. These maps were posted to the council website for the public prior to Draft Map hearing.

- Districts are letters, not numbers. This is a temporary structure for identifying seats as the final draft plan is required to be numbered based on a formula in the charter.
- Each draft has been created with a less than 10% total deviation.







Plan A

Plan meets traditional redistricting criteria and also achieves the following:

- Deviation of 8.2%
- Based on ID95054 plan
- Uses 101 as boundary






City of Carpinteria Draft Plan A

2020 Census

	Α	В	С	D	E
Population	2,679	2,643	2,619	2,785	2,567
Deviation	20	-16	-40	126	-92
Deviation %	0.8%	-0.6%	-1.5%	4.7%	-3.5%
Other	1,191	1,463	1,115	1,222	1,443
Other %	44.5%	55.4%	42.6%	43.9%	56.2%
Latino	1,404	1,111	1,460	1,462	1,048
Latino %	52.4%	42.0%	55.7%	52.5%	40.8%
Asian	65	59	29	85	61
Asian %	2.4%	2.2%	1.1%	3.1%	2.4%
Black	19	10	15	16	15
Black %	0.7%	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	Α	В	С	D	E
Total CVAP	1,792	1,868	1,514	1,674	1,935
Other CVAP	1,101	1,402	993	1,022	1,482
Other CVAP %	61.4%	75.1%	65.6%	61.1%	76.6%
Latino CVAP	671	379	517	543	427
Latino CVAP %	37.4%	20.3%	34.1%	32.4%	22.1%
Asian CVAP	14	87	4	81	12
Asian CVAP %	0.8%	4.7%	0.3%	4.8%	0.6%
Black CVAP	6	0	0	28	14
Black CVAP %	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.7%



Plan B

Plan meets traditional redistricting criteria and also achieves the following:

- Deviation of 5.9%
- Based on ID93368 plan
- Keeps coast together
- Keeps Northeast together






City of Carpinteria Draft Plan B

2020 Census

	Α	В	С	D	E
Population	2,657	2,599	2,721	2,579	2,737
Deviation	-2	-60	62	-80	78
Deviation %	-0.1%	-2.3%	2.3%	-3.0%	2.9%
Other	1,143	1,128	1,458	1,074	1,631
Other %	43.0%	43.4%	53.6%	41.6%	59.6%
Latino	1,451	1,406	1,211	1,412	1,005
Latino %	54.6%	54.1%	44.5%	54.7%	36.7%
Asian	48	50	30	77	94
Asian %	1.8%	1.9%	1.1%	3.0%	3.4%
Black	15	15	22	16	7
Black %	0.6%	0.6%	0.8%	0.6%	0.3%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	Α	В	С	D	E
Total CVAP	1,494	1,416	2,129	1,495	2,249
Other CVAP	826	928	1,605	884	1,757
Other CVAP %	55.3%	65.5%	75.4%	59.1%	78.1%
Latino CVAP	664	460	507	527	379
Latino CVAP %	44.4%	32.5%	23.8%	35.3%	16.9%
Asian CVAP	4	22	3	56	113
Asian CVAP %	0.3%	1.6%	0.1%	3.7%	5.0%
Black CVAP	0	6	14	28	0
Black CVAP %	0.0%	0.4%	0.7%	1.9%	0.0%



Plan C

Plan meets traditional redistricting criteria and also achieves the following:

- Deviation of 8.2%
- Based on placemat by Jim Taylor
- Keeps Northeast together
- Keeps Downtown Beach area together





City of Carpinteria Draft Plan C

2020 Census

	Α	В	С	D	E
Population	2,780	2,789	2,572	2,573	2,579
Deviation	121	130	-87	-86	-80
Deviation %	4.6%	4.9%	-3.3%	-3.2%	-3.0%
Other	1,210	1,611	1,104	1,435	1,074
Other %	43.5%	57.8%	42.9%	55.8%	41.6%
Latino	1,477	1,106	1,427	1,063	1,412
Latino %	53.1%	39.7%	55.5%	41.3%	54.7%
Asian	73	63	26	60	77
Asian %	2.6%	2.3%	1.0%	2.3%	3.0%
Black	20	9	15	15	16
Black %	0.7%	0.3%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	Α	В	С	D	E
Total CVAP	1,841	2,025	1,476	1,946	1,495
Other CVAP	1,126	1,530	976	1,484	884
Other CVAP %	61.2%	75.6%	66.1%	76.3%	59.1%
Latino CVAP	691	383	496	440	527
Latino CVAP %	37.5%	18.9%	33.6%	22.6%	35.3%
Asian CVAP	18	112	4	8	56
Asian CVAP %	1.0%	5.5%	0.3%	0.4%	3.7%
Black CVAP	6	0	O	14	28
Black CVAP %	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.9%

Amendments to Plans

Changes to plans may need balancing and understanding of tradeoffs. Three types of changes.

- Keeps within deviation and doesn't necessitate other changes.
- 2) Identifying a change and a possible tradeoff with that same ward a "one to one swap"
- 3) Identify a change and a set of districts that involves multiple districts a "rotation"



Amendments to Plans

If there are proposed changes we can look at those individually and provide input on if they can be achieved easily or require more adjustments.



What's Next

- Official council drafts will be made public in advance of a citywide public draft feedback hearing.
- All council draft plans will go to a final plan selection hearing.
- Final Plan selection hearing will consider only draft plans that have been made public for seven days.
- Numbering of districts is done at the end of the process



Redistricting Process

Timeline for Upcoming Meetings

January 24th First Public Hearing and Discussion of

Draft Maps


February Second Public Hearing on Draft Maps

March Final hearing before the City Council


for the approval of a final plan with

election selection lines





City of Carpinteria





2020 Census

	Α	В	С	D	E
Population	2,679	2,643	2,619	2,785	2,567
Deviation	20	-16	-40	126	-92
Deviation %	0.8%	-0.6%	-1.5%	4.7%	-3.5%
Other	1,191	1,463	1,115	1,222	1,443
Other %	44.5%	55.4%	42.6%	43.9%	56.2%
Latino	1,404	1,111	1,460	1,462	1,048
Latino %	52.4%	42.0%	55.7%	52.5%	40.8%
Asian	65	59	29	85	61
Asian %	2.4%	2.2%	1.1%	3.1%	2.4%
Black	19	10	15	16	15
Black %	0.7%	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)


	А	В	С	D	E
Total CVAP	1,792	1,868	1,514	1,674	1,935
Other CVAP	1,101	1,402	993	1,022	1,482
Other CVAP %	61.4%	75.1%	65.6%	61.1%	76.6%
Latino CVAP	671	379	517	543	427
Latino CVAP %	37.4%	20.3%	34.1%	32.4%	22.1%
Asian CVAP	14	87	4	81	12
Asian CVAP %	0.8%	4.7%	0.3%	4.8%	0.6%
Black CVAP	6	0	0	28	14
Black CVAP %	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.7%



City of Carpinteria


Draft Plan A


District A




Po	pulation	Deviation	Deviatio	n % Othe	r Other%	Latino	Latino %	Asian A	Asian 9	% Black	Black %
	2,679	20	0.8%	1,191	44.5%	1,404	52.4%	65	2.4%	19	0.7%
	Total CVAP	Other C	CVAP Oth	ner CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVA	P% E	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	1,792	1,10	1	61.4%	671	37.4%	14	0.8%		6	0.3%

2020 Census










City of Carpinteria


Draft Plan A


District B




Population	Deviation	Deviation 9	6 Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
2,643	-16	-0.6%	1,463	55.4%	1,111	42.0%	59	2.2%	5 10	0.4%
Total CVA	P Other 0	CVAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C	VAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
1,868	1,40	2 7	5.1%	379	20.3%	87	4.7	'%	0	0.0%

2020 Census











District C




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
2,619	-40	-1.5%	1,115	42.6%	1,460	55.7%	29	1.1%	15	0.6%
Total CVA	P Other	CVAP Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C	VAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
1,514	99	3 65.6	5%	517	34.1%	4	0.3	%	0	0.0%

2020 Census









City of Carpinteria


Draft Plan A


District D



Population	Deviation	Deviation 9	% Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
2,785	126	4.7%	1,222	43.9%	1,462	52.5%	85 3.19	% 16	0.6%
Total CVAF	Other 0	CVAP Othe	r CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
1,674	1,02	2 (51.1%	543	32.4%	81	4.8%	28	1.7%

2020 Census












District E





Population	Deviation	Deviation	% Other	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
2,567	-92	-3.5%	1,443	56.2%	1,048	40.8%	61	2.49	6 15	0.6%
Total CVAI	P Other (CVAP Othe	er CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C	VAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
1,935	1,48	2 '	76.6%	427	22.1%	12	0.6	5%	14	0.7%

2020 Census











2020 Census

	Α	В	С	D	E
Population	2,657	2,599	2,721	2,579	2,737
Deviation	-2	-60	62	-80	78
Deviation %	-0.1%	-2.3%	2.3%	-3.0%	2.9%
Other	1,143	1,128	1,458	1,074	1,631
Other %	43.0%	43.4%	53.6%	41.6%	59.6%
Latino	1,451	1,406	1,211	1,412	1,005
Latino %	54.6%	54.1%	44.5%	54.7%	36.7%
Asian	48	50	30	77	94
Asian %	1.8%	1.9%	1.1%	3.0%	3.4%
Black	15	15	22	16	7
Black %	0.6%	0.6%	0.8%	0.6%	0.3%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	Α	В	С	D	E
Total CVAP	1,494	1,416	2,129	1,495	2,249
Other CVAP	826	928	1,605	884	1,757
Other CVAP %	55.3%	65.5%	75.4%	59.1%	78.1%
Latino CVAP	664	460	507	527	379
Latino CVAP %	44.4%	32.5%	23.8%	35.3%	16.9%
Asian CVAP	4	22	3	56	113
Asian CVAP %	0.3%	1.6%	0.1%	3.7%	5.0%
Black CVAP	0	6	14	28	0
Black CVAP %	0.0%	0.4%	0.7%	1.9%	0.0%





District A




Population	Deviation	Deviation 9	6 Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
2,657	-2	-0.1%	1,143	43.0%	1,451	54.6%	48 1.8	% 15	0.6%
Total CVA	P Other (CVAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
1,494	826	5 5	5.3%	664	44.4%	4	0.3%	0	0.0%

2020 Census












District B




Po	pulation	Deviation	Deviation 9	6 Othe	r Other %	. Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	n % Black	Black %
	2,599	-60	-2.3%	1,128	43.4%	1,406	54.1%	50	1.9%	6 15	0.6%
	Total CVAP	Other (CVAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CV	/AP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	1,416	928	3 6	5.5%	460	32.5%	22	1.6%	6	6	0.4%

2020 Census












District C



P	opulation	Deviation	Deviation %	Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
	2,721	62	2.3%	1,458	53.6%	1,211	44.5%	30	1.1%	22	0.8%
	Total CVAF	Other (CVAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C	VAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	2,129	1,60	5 75.	.4%	507	23.8%	3	0.7	1%	14	0.7%

2020 Census












District D



Population	Deviation	Deviation 9	% Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
2,579	-80	-3.0%	1,074	41.6%	1,412	54.7%	77	3.09	6 16	0.6%
Total CVA	P Other 0	CVAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C\	/AP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
1,495	884	4 5	9.1%	527	35.3%	56	3.79	6	28	1.9%

2020 Census












District E




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Othe	r Other%	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asiar	n % Black	Black %
2,737	78	2.9%	1,631	59.6%	1,005	36.7%	94 3.4	% 7	0.3%
Total CVAP	Other C	CVAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
2,249	1,75	7 78	3.1%	379	16.9%	113	5.0%	0	0.0%

2020 Census











2020 Census


	Α	В	С	D	E
Population	2,780	2,789	2,572	2,573	2,579
Deviation	121	130	-87	-86	-80
Deviation %	4.6%	4.9%	-3.3%	-3.2%	-3.0%
Other	1,210	1,611	1,104	1,435	1,074
Other %	43.5%	57.8%	42.9%	55.8%	41.6%
Latino	1,477	1,106	1,427	1,063	1,412
Latino %	53.1%	39.7%	55.5%	41.3%	54.7%
Asian	73	63	26	60	77
Asian %	2.6%	2.3%	1.0%	2.3%	3.0%
Black	20	9	15	15	16
Black %	0.7%	0.3%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	Α	В	С	D	E
Total CVAP	1,841	2,025	1,476	1,946	1,495
Other CVAP	1,126	1,530	976	1,484	884
Other CVAP %	61.2%	75.6%	66.1%	76.3%	59.1%
Latino CVAP	691	383	496	440	527
Latino CVAP %	37.5%	18.9%	33.6%	22.6%	35.3%
Asian CVAP	18	112	4	8	56
Asian CVAP %	1.0%	5.5%	0.3%	0.4%	3.7%
Black CVAP	6	0	O	14	28
Black CVAP %	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.9%





District A




Р	opulation	Deviation	Deviation %	Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	n % Black	Black %
	2,780	121	4.6%	1,210	43.5%	1,477	53.1%	73	2.69	% 20	0.7%
	Total CVAP	Other C	CVAP Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C	VAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	1,841	1,120	6 61.2	2%	691	37.5%	18	1.09	%	6	0.3%

2020 Census












District B




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian 9	% Black	Black %
2,789	130	4.9%	1,611	57.8%	1,106	39.7%	63	2.3%	9	0.3%
Total CV	AP Other (CVAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CV	AP% E	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
2,025	1,53	0 75	.6%	383	18.9%	112	5.5%	5	0	0.0%

2020 Census











District C




Pop	oulation	Deviation	Deviation	% Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
	2,572	-87	-3.3%	1,104	42.9%	1,427	55.5%	26	1.0%	6 15	0.6%
	Total CVAP	Other C	CVAP Othe	er CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C	VAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	1,476	976	5	66.1%	496	33.6%	4	0.39	%	0	0.0%

2020 Census












District D




Population	Deviation	Deviation	% Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
2,573	-86	-3.2%	1,435	55.8%	1,063	41.3%	60	2.3%	6 15	0.6%
Total CVA	Other (CVAP Othe	r CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C	VAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
1,946	1,48	4 5	76.3%	440	22.6%	8	0.4	- %	14	0.7%

2020 Census









District E



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
2,579	-80	-3.0%	1,074	41.6%	1,412	54.7%	77	3.09	% 16	0.6%
Total CVAI	P Other C	CVAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C	VAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
1,495	884	4 59	.1%	527	35.3%	56	3.7	' %	28	1.9%

2020 Census

